UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

LUCZID AMARU EL,) CASE NO. 1:15 CV 1755
Plaintiff,) JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
v.))
CLEVELAND MUNICIPAL COURT, et al.,) MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND ORDER
Defendants.)

On August 31, 2015, Plaintiff *pro se* Luczid Amaru El filed this *in forma pauperis* action against Defendants Cleveland Municipal Court, the City of Cleveland, the State of Ohio, and Cleveland Police Officer Omar Maxel. While the Complaint is unclear, Plaintiff appears to allege he was arrested for Driving under Suspension or Revocation, and that the officers involved "denied plaintiff's Moorish American National Identification . . ." Complaint, p.2. The traffic charge against Plaintiff remains pending. *State v. Burton*, Cleve. Mun. Ct. No. 2015TRD26921; *see*, https://pa.clevelandmunicipalcourt.org/pa/prodpa.urd/pamw2000.docket_lst?4877843.¹

Although *pro se* pleadings are liberally construed, *Boag v. MacDougall*, 454 U.S. 364, 365 (1982) (per curiam); *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), the district court is required to dismiss an action under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) if it fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or if it lacks an arguable basis in law or fact. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319 (1989); *Lawler v. Marshall*, 898 F.2d 1196 (6th Cir. 1990); *Sistrunk v. City of Strongsville*, 99 F.3d 194, 197 (6th Cir. 1996).

A cause of action fails to state a claim upon which relief my be granted when it lacks

¹ Plaintiff is also known as Everett C. Burton.

"plausibility in the complaint." *Bell At. Corp. V. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 564 (2007). A pleading must contain a "short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 677-78 (2009). The factual allegations in the pleading must be sufficient to raise the right to relief above the speculative level on the assumption that all the allegations in the pleading are true. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. The plaintiff is not required to include detailed factual allegations, but the complaint must provide more than "an unadorned, the-defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation." *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678 (2009). A pleading that offers legal conclusions or a simple recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not meet this pleading standard. *Id*.

Principles requiring generous construction of *pro se* pleadings are not without limits. *Beaudett v. City of Hampton*, 775 F.2d 1274, 1277 (4th Cir. 1985). A complaint must contain either direct or inferential allegations respecting all the material elements of some viable legal theory to satisfy federal notice pleading requirements. *See Schied v. Fanny Farmer Candy Shops, Inc.*, 859 F.2d 434, 437 (6th Cir. 1988). District courts are not required to conjure up questions never squarely presented to them or to construct full blown claims from sentence fragments. *Beaudette*, 775 F.2d at 1278. To do so would "require ...[the courts] to explore exhaustively all potential claims of a *pro se* plaintiff, ... [and] would...transform the district court from its legitimate advisory role to the improper role of an advocate seeking out the strongest arguments and most successful strategies for a party." *Id*.

Even construing the pleading filed in this case liberally in a light most favorable to Plaintiff, *Brand v. Motley*, 526 F.3d 921, 924 (6th Cir. 2008), it does not contain allegations reasonably suggesting he might have a valid federal claim. *See*, *Lillard v. Shelby County Bd. of Educ*, 76 F.3d 716 (6th Cir. 1996)(court not required to accept summary allegations or unwarranted legal conclusions in determining whether complaint states a claim for relief).

Accordingly, the request to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted, and this action is dismissed under section 1915(e). The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an

Case: 1:15-cv-01755-CAB Doc #: 3 Filed: 09/15/15 3 of 3. PageID #: 10

appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Christopher A. Boyko CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: September 15, 2015